



## Reversible fuel cells instead of electrolyzers and batteries for large-scale renewable energy storage

Graves, Christopher R.

*Publication date:*  
2013

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Graves, C. R. (Invited author). (2013). Reversible fuel cells instead of electrolyzers and batteries for large-scale renewable energy storage. Sound/Visual production (digital)

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Reversible fuel cells instead of electrolyzers and batteries for large-scale renewable energy storage

Chris Graves, [cgra@dtu.dk](mailto:cgra@dtu.dk)

PhD, Scientist at Technical University of Denmark


20<sup>th</sup> November 2013

Sustainable Fuels from Renewable Energies workshop

IASS Potsdam Germany


- Background
- What is a reversible fuel cell
- |                    |   |                         |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|
| • vs Batteries     | } | Efficiency              |
| • vs Electrolysers |   | Resource use            |
|                    |   | Capital cost & lifetime |
- Case study of 100% wind/solar using RFCs

# Denmark's need for energy storage




Data for 2012 from energinet.dk; future wind supply scaled up based on Danish roadmap.

# Storing surplus wind power as hydrocarbon fuels




C. Graves, S.D. Ebbesen, M. Mogensen, K.S. Lackner, *Sustainable hydrocarbon fuels by recycling  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  with renewable or nuclear energy*, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 15 (2011) 1–23.

# Possible methods to convert CO<sub>2</sub> to fuels




# Electrolysis




C. Graves, S.D. Ebbesen, M. Mogensen, K.S. Lackner, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15 (2011) 1-23

# Solid oxide electrochemical cells





# Solid oxide electrochemical cells



- Background
- What is a reversible fuel cell
- |                    |   |                         |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|
| • vs Batteries     | } | Efficiency              |
| • vs Electrolysers |   | Resource use            |
|                    |   | Capital cost & lifetime |
- Case study of 100% wind/solar using RFCs

# Batteries, flow batteries, & reversible fuel cells

## Battery

“A device consisting of one or more\* electro-chemical cells that convert stored chemical energy into electrical energy” – *Merriam-Webster dictionary*

=

## Galvanic/voltaic cell

“A simple device with which chemical energy is converted into electrical energy”

– *Columbia Electronic Encyclopedia*

\*originally only >1


## Rechargeable battery

“acts as a galvanic cell when discharging (converting chemical energy to electrical energy), and an electrolytic cell when being charged (converting electrical energy to chemical energy).”

Flow batteries and reversible fuel cells are special types of rechargeable batteries

# Batteries, flow batteries, & reversible fuel cells


## Battery



### Examples:

Lithium-ion	Nickel metal hydride
Lead-acid	Nickel-cadmium
Sodium-sulfur	Metal-air (special case)

## Flow battery




### Examples:

Vanadium redox	Bromine-polysulfide
Zinc-bromine	Zinc-cerium
Iron-chromium	Lithium-ion

Examples:  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{OH}^-$ ,  $\text{O}^{2-}$ ,  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$

## Reversible fuel cell



### Examples:

$\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 / \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\text{CO} + \text{O}_2 / \text{CO}_2$	$\text{C} + \text{O}_2 / \text{CO}_2$
$\text{H}_2 + \text{Br}_2 / \text{HBr}$	$\text{CH}_4 + \text{O}_2 / \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	
$\text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 / \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 / \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	

Note: For each, the charge carrier in the electrolyte need not necessarily be a positive species.

- The main difference is **how the energy is stored**, which has important implications. Today, put numbers to those.
  - RFC stores electrical energy as fuels (such as  $H_2$  and hydrocarbons) whereas a conventional battery stores energy in metal atoms (typically Pb, Ni, Li, V, etc.)
  - Certain types of RFCs such as solid oxide cells can produce/consume a wide variety of fuels including hydrocarbons which can be used in existing infrastructure – not only hydrogen, as in most low-T RFCs
- The **roundtrip electric-to-electric efficiency** of RFCs is typically perceived as too low, but there is no inherent reason. Today, numbers.
  - Redox chemistries besides  $H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + O_2$  can match the near-100% maximum theoretical efficiency of some conventional batteries.
  - Also, inefficiency yields high-T (high-value) heat, which can be exported, or stored and re-utilized in the system

# Batteries, flow batteries, & reversible fuel cells

Is this a reversible fuel cell or a battery?






Fig. 4. Keringum diagram of Fe–O<sub>2</sub> and  $P_{O_2}$  in charge and discharge.

N. Xu, X. Li, X. Zhao, J.B. Goodenough, K. Huang, A novel solid oxide redox flow battery for grid energy storage, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 4 (2011) 4942–4946.

A. Inoishi, T. Ishihara, S. Ida, T. Okano, S. Uratani, High capacity of an Fe–Air rechargeable battery using LaGaO<sub>3</sub>-based oxide ion conductor as an electrolyte, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*. (2012).

# Batteries, flow batteries, & reversible fuel cells

- What about a battery-like system with the atmosphere/environment as a storage reservoir? And one device charges while another discharges?



To even further complicate it, we consider operating profiles for balancing the entire energy system where the first device charges+discharges with *net* charging (for transport fuel production)...


- Background
- What is a reversible fuel cell
- |                    |   |                         |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|
| • vs Batteries     | } | Efficiency              |
| • vs Electrolysers |   | Resource use            |
|                    |   | Capital cost & lifetime |
- Case study of 100% wind/solar using RFCs



- Selecting from the entire periodic table is possible...
  - A recent study examined the theoretical energy density of 1172 possible redox chemistries for batteries. Zu, C.X., Li, H., 2011. Thermodynamic analysis on energy density of batteries. Energy and Environmental Science 4, 2614–2624.
- The point of using RFCs as batteries is to use common fuels or elements; to avoid tying up expensive metals

<http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2002/fs087-02/>


# Possible redox chemistries – abundance



<http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2002/fs087-02/>

# Possible redox chemistries – abundance & cost


## Raw materials costs and abundance




Source of figure: R Jaffe & J Price, 2011, APS reports on Energy Critical Elements  
Their Source of data: USGS, EIA, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, others

# Possible redox chemistries


- Most abundant elements include H, C, Fe, Na, Si, Al, Ca
- Besides low cost, they are also the lightest → high energy density
- Focus first on H and C




# Possible redox chemistries - thermodynamics



# Possible redox chemistries - thermodynamics




# Possible redox chemistries – max. roundtrip efficiencies




Based on losing  $T\Delta S$  when cycling, e.g. it is not possible to store the high T heat that is produced and recover it later at equal or higher T to supply to the cell during the other half of the cycle (usually charge/electrolysis mode).

# Possible redox chemistries – max. roundtrip efficiencies







# Possible redox chemistries – max. roundtrip efficiencies




# Possible redox chemistries – max. roundtrip efficiencies



# Possible redox chemistries – max. roundtrip efficiencies



# Possible redox chemistries – max. roundtrip efficiencies



# Efficiency


- Maximum theoretical efficiency  $\rightarrow$  practical efficiency  $\varepsilon$ 
  - Overpotentials  $\eta$  to actually produce current
  - Heat losses
  - Energy consumed by balance of system

- Maximum theoretical efficiency  $\rightarrow$  practical efficiency  $\varepsilon$ 
  - Overpotentials  $\eta$  to actually produce current
  - Heat losses
  - Energy consumed by balance of system
- Example:  $\text{H}_2$  vs  $\text{CH}_4$  at  $600^\circ\text{C}$ 
  - $\eta = 0.1\text{ V}$  for all cells (e.g.  $0.5\text{ A/cm}^2 \times 0.2\text{ }\Omega\text{ cm}^2$ ) plus voltage drop due to gas conversion, 90% reactant utilization for both charge (electrolysis) and discharge (fuel-cell mode) (ignoring possible C deposition), gives  $\varepsilon(\text{H}_2) = 69\%$  and  $\varepsilon(\text{CH}_4) = 86\%$ . + Heat and system losses  $\sim 10\text{-}20\%$ .

- Maximum theoretical efficiency  $\rightarrow$  practical efficiency  $\varepsilon$ 
  - Overpotentials  $\eta$  to actually produce current
  - Heat losses
  - Energy consumed by balance of system
- Example:  $\text{H}_2$  vs  $\text{CH}_4$  at 600 °C
  - $\eta = 0.1$  V for all cells (e.g.  $0.5 \text{ A/cm}^2 \times 0.2 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ) plus voltage drop due to gas conversion, 90% reactant utilization for both charge (electrolysis) and discharge (fuel-cell mode) (ignoring possible C deposition), gives  $\varepsilon(\text{H}_2) = 69\%$  and  $\varepsilon(\text{CH}_4) = 86\%$ . + Heat and system losses  $\sim 10\text{-}20\%$ .
  - However, the difference could become smaller or larger depending on the required operating profile:  
For example, energy balancing an intermittent renewable energy source which has a low 20-30% capacity factor requires operating at higher electrolysis current density for a shorter time than in fuel-cell mode, which can lower  $\varepsilon(\text{CH}_4)$  because both modes are exothermic, but it does not affect  $\varepsilon(\text{H}_2)$  until electrolysis operation rises above thermoneutral.

# Resource Use

- Amount of material tied up in a kWh of stored electricity  
→ Cost, Sustainability, Ability to scale-up (and toxicity)




- Similar magnitudes of Li+Co for Li-ion and Pb for Pb-acid batteries
- Using life cycle analysis from literature and device lifetimes
  - NiMH battery 2000 cycles at 50% DOD; SOC 5-yr (1800 daily cycles)




# Economics – capital cost breakdowns (\$/kW)

## Solid oxide cell



## Alkaline fuel/electrolysis cell



**Balance of system dominates!**

An issue with flow systems? Compared with self-contained batteries...

Data collected from:


Saur (2008) NREL technical report - Wind-To-Hydrogen Project- Electrolyzer Capital Cost Study

Thijssen, Jan. The Impact of Scale-Up and Production Volume on SOFC Manufacturing Cost. J. Thijssen, LLC. Prepared for National Energy Technology Laboratory, U.S. DOE, April 2, 2007.

Thijssen, Jan, and W. A. Surdoyal. "Stack Operating Strategies for Central Station SOFC" presented at the 2009 Fuel Cell Seminar, Palm Springs, California, November 16, 2009.

# Economics – capital cost breakdowns (\$/kWh)


## Solid oxide cell



Assuming BoS life is  
2x that of stack.

## Li-ion battery

D. Anderson, An Evaluation of Current and Future Costs for Lithium-Ion Batteries for Use in Electrified Vehicle Powertrains, (2009).



**Opposite!**


Li-ion mass production is optimized and  
cost reduction potential is relatively limited

# Simple economics estimations


Battery	CAPEX (U.S. cents/kWh elec)	Notes
Pb-acid	15 – 40	# cycles (700-1800), DOD
Li-ion	5 – 17	# cycles (1000-8500), DOD
NiMH	15 – 20	# cycles (1000-3000), DOD
V redox	16	10 000 cycles
SOC	1.5 – 9	5-10 yr, \$500-2000/kW

- Background
- What is a reversible fuel cell
- |                    |   |                         |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|
| • vs Batteries     | } | Efficiency              |
| • vs Electrolysers |   | Resource use            |
|                    | } | Capital cost & lifetime |
- Case study of 100% wind/solar using RFCs

# Denmark's need for energy storage



# Electrolytic CO<sub>2</sub>-to-fuels economics



C. Graves, S.D. Ebbesen, M. Mogensen, K.S. Lackner, *Sustainable hydrocarbon fuels by recycling CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O with renewable or nuclear energy*, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 15 (2011) 1–23.



# Reversible fuel cell capacity factor

All 3 types of devices buy electricity when the price is low, and sell:

	Sell electricity (spot market arbitrage)	Sell fuel (e.g. transportation)
Battery	X	
Electrolyser		X
Reversible fuel cell	X	X

Also, in order to keep a high-temperature electrolyser hot, one may run it in fuel-cell mode anyway during idle periods, thereby having the ability to operate as RFC...

# Lifetime of reversible solid oxide cells



Cell type: most common  
Ni-YSZ | YSZ | LSM-YSZ


Redox chemistry: most  
common  $H_2O \leftrightarrow H_2 + O_2$

To facilitate interpretation  
and comparison with  
prior work




- During continuous electrolysis operation at high current densities, severe microstructural damage occurs in the YSZ electrolyte near the oxygen-electrode/electrolyte interface
  - caused by a buildup of high internal oxygen pressure
- We investigated whether this electrolysis-induced degradation might be decreased by operating the cell reversibly
  - periodically cycling between fuel-cell and electrolysis modes (charge-discharge)

# Constant electrolysis vs charge-discharge cycles



Electrolysis degradation could be completely eliminated by reversible operation

# Lifetime of reversible solid oxide cells



- Background
- What is a reversible fuel cell
- |                    |   |                         |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|
| • vs Batteries     | } | Efficiency              |
| • vs Electrolysers |   | Resource use            |
|                    |   | Capital cost & lifetime |
- Case study of 100% wind/solar using RFCs

# Case study of a Danish island powered by 100% wind

Ærø island in Denmark, already supplies 50% of its power by wind and wishes to be a 100% renewable island




# Energy consumption of Ærø island for 2010

(all expressed in MW average based on annual MWh)

Since Ærø already wants energy self-sufficiency, so we can make the assumption of isolation, that it will not interact in the Danish/European/world energy market.


- 3.9 MW electricity consumption
  - 1.6 MW is used for electric heating
- 11.5 MW fuels consumption
  - 2.7 MW is used for heating
    - Diesel: 3.8 MW for ferries + 3.2 MW for road vehicles
  - 8.5 MW is used for transportation
    - Gasoline: 1.85 MW for road vehicles
- 20.4 MW in total (above plus district heat)  
and small amount of biomass and solar heat



- To supply the above entirely with wind:  
(using time-series supply and demand data, but “rough” estimate before optimization)
  - Electricity @ 100% effc. direct and @ 50% for roundtrip storage  
 $= 3.9 \cdot (80\%/100\% + 20\%/50\%) = 4.7$  MW wind power\*
  - Fuels @ 65% effc.  $= 11.5/65\% = 17.7$  MW wind power
  - The inefficiencies give 7.0 MW high-grade heat, which meets the district heating.


Since Ærø has no natural gas storage already, we can use methanol as reversible storage medium and convert it to DME as needed for transportation.

# Case study of a Danish island powered by 100% wind




# Case study of 100% wind/solar using RFCs

Besides wind power balancing in Denmark,



we are also looking at solar power balancing in California, USA.





- RFCs vs Batteries
  - Match **efficiency** of conventional batteries, using chemistries besides  $\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2$
  - Lower **resource use** and **cost** per kWh of energy stored
- RFCs vs Electrolysers
  - Lower **cost** due to higher utilization factor (lower idle time)
  - Reversible battery-like operation of solid oxide cells can enhance cell stability, providing **longer lifetime** compared with steady-state electrolysis operation
- It is possible to put together an energy balancing system which supplies **both on-demand electricity & green fuels** with low energy losses with only 3 devices (RFCs, catalytic reactors, and air capture or biomass capture of  $\text{CO}_2$ )
- Since RFCs can use common fuels, one can install RFC systems today and operate only in FC mode until the renewable supply increases, then operate reversibly (**no need to install a dedicated energy storage system** if it is initially designed for reversible operation). & Perfect capacity sizing is less important since charge mode need not always precede discharge mode (fuels can be imported to the system).

# Acknowledgements

- SERC Project (Strategic Electrochemical Research Center)
- Mogens Mogensen
- John Bøgild Hansen
- Sune D. Ebbesen
- Søren Højgaard Jensen
- Many other colleagues